Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research

Volume 32, Issue 1, Page 36-51, 2025; Article no.AJOMCOR.12625
ISSN: 2395-4205 (P), ISSN: 2395-4213 (O)

On Some Results Involving Many Variable Functions of Hardy Integral Inequalities

Yisa Oluwatoyin [a*], Christiana Iluno [a] and Rauf Kamilu [b]

[a] *Department of Mathematical Sciences, Lagos State University of Science and Technology, Ikorodu, Lagos, Nigeria.*

[b] *Department of Mathematics, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56557/ajomcor/2025/v32i19049

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://prh.ikprress.org/review-history/12625

Original Research Article

Received: 01/11/2024
Accepted: 02/01/2025
Published: 15/01/2025

Abstract

Inequalities involving superquadratic and subquadratic functions of independent interest are established for refined Hardy-type inequalities using fairly elementary analytical ideas. The consequences of our main results are derived and the corresponding proofs are presented.

Keywords: Hardy's inequality; superquadratic functions; subquadratic functions; fubini's theorem; jensen's inequality and hölder's inequality.

**Corresponding author: E-mail: anthonio.yo@lasustech.edu.ng;*

Cite as: *Oluwatoyin, Yisa, Christiana Iluno, and Rauf Kamilu. 2025. "On Some Results Involving Many Variable Functions of Hardy Integral Inequalities". Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research 32 (1):36-51. https://doi.org/10.56557/ajomcor/2025/v32i19049.*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 26A86.

1 Introduction

In 1920, Hardy announced his well celebrated and famous inequality (Hardy, 1920). If $p > 1, f \geq 0$, $p-$integrable on $(0, \infty)$ and

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt, \text{then,}$$

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{F(t)}{x}\right)^p dx \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx, \quad p > 1 \tag{1}$$

where f is a non-negative measurable function and the constant $\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p$ is the best possible.
The inequality was proved in Hardy (1925) and also holds for:

$$\int_a^b \left(\frac{F(t)}{x}\right)^p dx \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \int_a^b f^p(x)dx$$

where $0 < a < b < \infty$, see (Kufner et al., 2006).

An improved form of the inequality was observed in (Sulaiman, 2012), where f is non-decreasing. If $f \geq 0$, and non-decreasing, F is as defined by (1.1). $f \geq 0,\ g > 0,\ \frac{x}{g(x)}$ is non-increasing, $p > 1$ and $0 < a < 1$ then

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{F(t)}{g(x)}\right)^p dx \leq \frac{p}{a(1-p)(1-a)^{p-1}} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{xf(x)}{g(x)}\right)^p dx \tag{2}$$

The inequality has been developed and applied in almost unbelievable ways, see (Kufner et. al., 2010, Kufner and Persson, 2003, Opic and Kufner, 1990, Hardy, 1928, Hardy, 1959) and the references therein. The prehistory of the inequality could be sourced in (Kufner et. al., 2006, Gogatishvili and Ünver, 2006, Hasan et al., 2024). This work is motivated by the results of Imoru (1977) which used adaptation of convexity of a function to obtain the following: For $p \geq 1$ the refined inequality (2).

$$\int_0^\infty g(x)^{-k} F^p(x)dg(x) + \left(\frac{p}{k-1}\right)^p g(b)^{1-k} F^p(b) \leq \left(\frac{p}{k-1}\right)^p \int_0^\infty g(x)^{p-k} f^p(x)dg(x) \tag{3}$$

and

$$\int_b^\infty g(x)^{-k} F^p(x)dg(x) + \left(\frac{p}{1-k}\right)^p g(b)^{1-k} F^p(b) \leq \left(\frac{p}{1-k}\right)^p \int_b^\infty g(x)^{p-k} f^p(x)dg(x) \tag{4}$$

hold with both inequalities reversed in $0 < p < 1$. Equality holds in either inequality, when either $p = 1$ or $f = 0$. The constant $\left(\frac{p}{k-1}\right)^p$ or $\left(\frac{p}{1-k}\right)^p$ is the best possible when the left side of (3) or (4) is unchanged, respectively. The result generalized Shum (1971).

The objective of this paper is to obtain a new integral inequality which is an extension of (1). Indeed, we shall show that (1) in its modified form leads us to some extensions, and a new generalization of a class of inequalities which are of Hardy-type integral inequalities.

2 Some Useful Definitions:

We need the following tools in the proofs of our main results.

Jensen inequality:

Let μ be a probability measure and let $\Phi \geq 0$ be a convex function. Then, for all $\zeta(x)$ be a integrable function we have

$$\int \Phi^o \zeta(\mu)d\mu \leq \Phi\left(\int \zeta(\mu)d\mu\right) \tag{5}$$

Chebyshev integral inequality:

If $\zeta, \omega : [\alpha, \beta] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are integrable functions, both increasing or both decreasing, and $\rho : [\alpha, \beta] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive integrable function, then

$$\int_\alpha^\beta \rho(x)\zeta(x)dx \int_\alpha^\beta \rho(x)\omega(x)dx \leq \int_\alpha^\beta \rho(x)dx \int_\alpha^\beta \rho(x)\zeta(x)\omega(x)dx \tag{6}$$

We observe that if one of the functions ζ or ω is decreasing and the other is increasing, then (5) is reversed and where $\rho(x) = 1$. we have

$$\int_\alpha^\beta \rho(x)\zeta(x)dx \int_\alpha^\beta \rho(x)\omega(x)dx \leq \int_\alpha^\beta \rho(x)dx \int_\alpha^\beta \zeta(x)\omega(x)dx \tag{7}$$

Submultiplicative:

Let $\Phi \geq 0$ is submultiplicative, and $\Phi(0) = 0$. If $\Phi'(x)$ is non-decreasing (nonincreasing), then $\frac{\Phi(0)}{x}$is non-decreasing (non-increasing).

A function Φ is called submultiplicative, if $\Phi(xy) \leq \Phi(x)\Phi(y)$, for all $x, y > 0$ In particular, for all $n \geq 1$, we have $\Phi(x^n) \leq \Phi^n(x)x > 0$.

A function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is superquadratic provided that for all $x \geq 0$ there exists a constant $C_x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\Phi(y) - \Phi(x) - \Phi(|y - x|) \geq C_x(y - x), \quad \forall \ \ y \geq 0 \tag{8}$$

We say that Φ is subquadratic if -Φ is superquadratic.

Corrolary 2.1: (See [17, Theorem 2.3].)

Let (Ω, μ) be a probability measure space. The inequality

$$\Phi\left(\int_\Omega f(s)d\mu(s)\right) \leq \int_\Omega \Phi(f(s))d\mu(s) - \int_\Omega \Phi\left(\left|f(s) - \int_\Omega f(s)d\mu(s)\right|\right)d\mu(s) \tag{9}$$

holds for all probability measures μ and all non negative μ-integrable functions f if and only if Φ is superquadratic. Moreover, (1) holds in the reversed direction if and only if Φ is subquadratic.

Corrolary 2.2: (See [17, Lemma 3.1].) $\Phi : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable and $\Phi(0) \leq 0$. If Φ' is superadditive or $\frac{\Phi'(x)}{x}$ is nondecreasing, then Φ is superquadratic.

Corollary 2.3

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $\eta : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ is rd-continuous and $\phi : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous, convex and superquadratic function. Then,

$$\left(\frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b \eta(t)d\mu(t)\right)^r \leq \frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b \left(\eta(x)^r - \left|\eta(x)^r - \frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b \eta(t)d\mu(t)\right|^r\right)d\mu(x) \tag{10}$$

The proof of the next theorem is sufficient for Corollary 2.3.

Corollary 2.4

Let $u, \upsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ be non negative functions such as $\mu-$integral $\int_\tau^b \frac{u(x)\eta(x)}{(b-a)\sigma(x)-a}d\mu(x) < \infty$ and define the weight function $\upsilon(\tau)$ by

$$\upsilon(t) = (t - a)\int_t^b \frac{u(x)\eta(x)}{(x - a)(\sigma(x) - a)}d\mu(x) \quad t \in (a, b)$$

(i) If real valued function Φ is convex and superquadratic function such that on (a,b), $0<a<b<\infty$ then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_a^b u(x)\eta(x)\left(\frac{1}{\sigma(x)-a}\int_a^{\sigma(x)}\eta(t)d\mu(t)\right)^r\frac{\Delta x}{(x-a)}\\
&+\int_a^b\int_t^b\left|(\eta(t))^r-\left(\frac{1}{\sigma(x)-a}\int_a^{\sigma(x)}\eta(t)d\mu(t)\right|^r\right)\frac{u(x)\eta(x)}{(x-a)(\sigma(x)-a)}d\mu(x)d\mu(t). \qquad (11)\\
&\leq\int_a^b u(x)\eta(x)(\eta(x))^q\frac{\Delta x}{(x-a)}
\end{aligned}$$

holds for all $\mu-$integrable function $\eta\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $\eta(x)\in(a,b)$.

(ii) If the real valued function Φ is convex and superquadratic function such that on (a,b), $0<a<b<\infty$ then, (11) holds in the reverse direction.

Proof:
(i) Applying Jensen′s inequality and Fubini theorem (See [17, Theorem 2.1].), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_a^b u(x)\eta(x)\left(\frac{1}{\sigma(x)-a}\int_a^{\sigma(x)}\eta(t)d\mu(t)\right)^r\frac{d\mu(x)}{(x-a)}\\
&\leq\int_a^b\frac{u(x)\eta(x)}{(x-a)(\sigma(x)-a)}\int_a^{\sigma(x)}(\eta(t))^r d\mu(x)d\mu(t)\\
&-\int_a^b\frac{u(x)\eta(x)}{(x-a)(\sigma(x)-a)}\int_t^b\left|(\eta(t))^r-\frac{1}{(\sigma(x)-a)}\int_a^{\sigma(x)}\eta(t)d\mu(t)\right|^q d\mu(x)\\
&=\int_a^b\eta(t)^r\int_t^b\frac{u(x)\eta(x)}{(x-a)(\sigma(x)-a)}d\mu(x)d\mu(t) \qquad (12)\\
&-\int_a^b\int_t^b\left|(\eta(t))^r-\frac{1}{(\sigma(x)-a)}\int_a^{\sigma(x)}\eta(t)d\mu(t)\right|^r\frac{u(x)\eta(x)}{(x-a)(\sigma(x)-a)}d\mu(x)d\mu(t)\\
&=\int_a^b u(x)\eta(x)\eta(t)^q\frac{d\mu(t)}{(t-a)}\\
&-\int_a^b\int_t^b\left|(\eta(t))^r-\frac{1}{(\sigma(x)-a)}\int_a^{\sigma(x)}\eta(t)d\mu(t)\right|^r\frac{u(x)\eta(x)}{(x-a)(\sigma(x)-a)}d\mu(x)d\mu(t)
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) This is similar to the proof of (i) above but the only difference is that in this case the inequality sign is reversed. The proof is complete.

Further simplification of (12), if $u(x)=(x-a), a<b<\infty$ and $u(x)=1$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_a^b\eta(x)\left(\frac{1}{(\sigma(x)-a)}\int_a^b\eta(t)\right)d\mu(x)-\int_a^b\eta(x)\eta(x)^r d\mu(x)\\
&\leq\int_a^b\eta(x)\eta(x)^r d\mu(x) \qquad (13)\\
&-\int_a^b\int_t^b\left(\left|(\eta(t))-\left(\frac{1}{(\sigma(x)-a)}\int_a^{\sigma(x)}\eta(t)d\mu(t)\right)\right|\right)\frac{\eta(x)}{(\sigma(x)-a)}d\mu(x)d\mu(t)
\end{aligned}$$

but if $b = \infty$ then, above inequality becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_a^{\infty} \eta(x) \left(\frac{1}{(\sigma(x)-a)} \int_a^{\sigma(x)} \eta(t) \right)^r \frac{d\mu(x)}{x-a} \\
&\leq \int_a^{\infty} (\eta(t)) \frac{\eta(x)^r}{(x-a)} d\mu(x) \\
&- \int_a^{\infty} \int_t^{\infty} \left| \eta(x) - \frac{1}{(\sigma(x)-a)} \int_a^{\sigma(x)} \eta(t) d\mu(t) \right|^r \frac{\eta(x)}{(\sigma(x)-a)} d\mu(x) d\mu(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_a^{\infty} \eta(x) \left(\frac{1}{(\sigma(x)-a)} \int_a^{\sigma(x)} \eta(t) \right)^r \frac{d\mu(x)}{x-a} - \int_a^{\infty} (\eta(t)) \frac{\eta(x)^r}{(x-a)} d\mu(x) \\
&\leq \int_a^{\infty} \int_t^{\infty} \left| \eta(x) - \frac{1}{(\sigma(x)-a)} \int_a^{\sigma(x)} \eta(t) d\mu(t) \right|^r \frac{\eta(x)}{(\sigma(x)-a)} d\mu(x) d\mu(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

(ii) The inequalities (15) hold in the reversed direction if Φ is subquadratic.

Corollary 2.5 :

Let $\omega_1(t), \ldots, \omega_i(t) > 0$, and $W(x) = \int_0^x \omega_1(t), \ldots, \omega_i(t)\ d_1t, \ldots, d_it$. If $\Phi'(x)$ is non-decreasing (non-increasing) then, function $\frac{\omega_1(x),\ldots,\omega_i(x)}{x^2}$ is also non-decreasing (non-increasing) on $(0, \infty)$. See (Anthonio and Rauf, 2021) for the proof.

Corollary 2.6 :

Let $0 < b \leq \infty, u : (0, \infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative weight function such that the function $x \longrightarrow \frac{u_1(x)\ldots u_i(x)}{x^2}$ is locally integrable on $(0, \infty)$, and define the weight function ν by

$$\nu_1(t) \ldots \nu_i(t) = t \int_t^b \frac{u_1(x) \ldots u_i(x)}{x^2} \quad dx_1 \ldots dx_i, \quad \text{for} \quad \text{all } t \in (0, b)$$

(1) If the real-valued function is superquadratic on $(a, b), 0 \leq a < b \leq \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_a^b u_1(x) \ldots u_i(x) \left(\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \zeta_1(t) \ldots \zeta_i(t) d_1(t) \ldots d_i(t) \right)^r \frac{d_1(x) \ldots d_i(x)}{x} + \\
&+ \int_a^b \int_t^b \left(\zeta_1(t) \ldots \zeta_i(t) - \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \zeta_1(t) \ldots \zeta_i(t) d_1(t) \ldots d_i(t) \right)^r \frac{u_1(x) \ldots u_i(x)}{x^2} d_1(x) \ldots d_i(x)\ \ d_1(t) \ldots d_i(t) \\
&\leq \int_a^b u_1(x) \ldots u_i(x) (\zeta_1(t) \ldots \zeta_i(t))^r \ \ \frac{d_1(x) \ldots d_i(x)}{x} \qquad \text{holds for all } \zeta \text{ with } a < \zeta(x) < c,\ 0 < x \leq b
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

(2) If the real-valued function is subquadratic on $(a, b), 0 \leq a < b \leq \infty$, then (6) holds in the reversed direction.

Proof. We adopt the applications of refined Jensen′s inequality (5) and Fubini's theorem see (Anthonio and Rauf, 2021). we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_a^b u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)\left(\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)\right)^r \frac{d_1(x)\dots d_i(x)}{x}\\
&\leq \int_a^b \frac{u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)}{x^2}\int_0^x (\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))^r d_1(x)\dots d_i(x) \qquad d_1(t)\dots d_i(t) -\\
&-\int_a^b \frac{u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)}{x^2}\int_0^x \left(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)-\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)\right)^r \frac{u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)}{x^2} d_1(x)\dots d_i(x) \quad d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)\\
&=\int_a^b (\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))^r \int_t^b \frac{u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)}{x^2} d_1(x)\dots d_i(x)d_1(t)\dots d_i(t) -\\
&-\int_a^b\int_t^b \left(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)-\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)\right)^r \frac{u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)}{x^2} d_1(x)\dots d_i(x) \quad d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)\\
&=\int_a^b \nu_1(t)\dots\nu_i(t)(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))^r \frac{d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)}{t} - \int_a^b\int_t^b \left(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)-\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)\right)^r\\
&\times \frac{u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)}{x^2} d_1(x)\dots d_i(x) \quad d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

which is the proof of Corollary 2.6.

The proof of (2) is complete if the reserve sign of above inequality is case. However, is similar to the proof of (1).

Corollary 2.7 :

Let $0 < b \leq \infty, u : (0,\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative locally integrable function $(0,\infty)$ and defined the function by

$$\xi_1(t)\dots\xi_i(t) = \frac{1}{t}\int_b^t \frac{u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)}{x^2} \qquad dx_1\dots dx_i$$

(i) If the real-valued function is superquadratic on $(a,b), 0 \leq a < b < \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_b^\infty u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)\left(x\int_x^\infty \zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)\frac{d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)}{t^2}\right)^r \frac{d_1(x)\dots d_i(x)}{x} +\\
&+\int_b^\infty\int_b^t \left(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i - x\int_x^\infty \zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)\frac{d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)}{t^2}\right)^r u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)d_1(x)\dots d_i(x) \quad \frac{d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)}{t^2}\\
&\leq \int_b^\infty \nu_1(x)\dots\nu_i(x)(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))^r \quad \frac{d_1(x)\dots d_i(x)}{x} \qquad \text{holds for all } \zeta \text{ with } a < \zeta(x) < c, 0 < x \leq b
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Proof. With all assumption of the of the proof Corollary 2.6 we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_b^\infty u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)\left(x\int_x^0 \zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)\frac{d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)}{t^2}\right)^r \frac{d_1(x)\dots d_i(x)}{x}\\
&\leq \int_b^\infty u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)\int_x^0 (\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))^r \qquad \frac{d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)}{t^2}d_1(x)\dots d_i(x)-\\
&-\int_b^\infty u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)\int_x^0\left(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)-x\int_x^0\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)\frac{d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)}{t^2}\right)^r\frac{d_1(t)\dots t_i(t)}{t^2} \qquad d_1(x)\dots d_i(x)\\
&=\int_b^\infty(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))^r\int_b^t u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)\;\; d_1(x)\dots d_i(x)\;\; d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)-\\
&-\int_b^\infty\int_b^t\left(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)-x\int_x^0\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)\right)^r u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)\; d_1(x)\dots d_i(x)\;\; d_1(t)\dots d_i(t).\\
&\leq\int_b^\infty\xi_1(t)\dots\xi_i(t)(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))^r\frac{d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)}{t}-\int_a^b\int_b^t\left(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)-x\int_x^0\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)\frac{d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)}{t^2}\right)^r\\
&\times u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)\;\; d_1(x)\dots d_i(x)\;\; \frac{d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)}{t^2}
\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

which is the proof of Corollary 2.7.

(ii) If the real-valued function is subquadratic on $(a,b), 0\leq a<b<\infty$, then (2.2) holds in the reversed direction.

3 Some Extension of Hardy-type Inequalities

The following results are used as preliminary to our main results.

Theorem 3.1 :

Let $\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x)\geq 0,\;\; \omega_1(x)\dots\omega_i(x)>0,\;\; 0<a<1,\;\; r>1,\;\; q>\frac{r-a(r-1)}{2}$ and

$$W_1(x),\dots,W_i(x)=\int_0^x\omega_1(t),\dots,\omega_1(t)d_i(t),\dots,d_i(t) \qquad \text{for all} \qquad i=1,2,3\cdots\in\mathbb{N} \tag{20}$$

If the function $\frac{x}{\omega_1(x)\dots\omega_i(x)}$ is non-increasing function. Then the following inequality

$$\int_0^\infty\frac{F_1^r(x)\dots F_i^r(x)}{W_1^r(x)\dots W_i^r(x)}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\leq\frac{1}{((b-1)(r-1)+2q-1)(1-a)^{r-1}}\int_0^\infty\frac{t^r\times(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))^r}{W_1^r(t)\dots W_i^r(t)}d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t) \tag{21}$$

holds.

Proof.

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{F_1^r(x)\dots F_i^r(x)}{W_1^r(x)\dots W_i^r(x)}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) = \int_0^\infty W_1^{-r}(x)\dots W_i^{-r}(x)\left(t^{\frac{-a(r-1)}{r}}t^{\frac{a(r-1)}{r}}\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)d_i(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)^r d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)$$

$$\leq \int_0^\infty W_1^{-r}(x)\dots W_i^{-r}(x)\left(\left(\int_0^x t^a d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)^{\frac{p-1}{r}}\left(\int_0^x t^{a(r-1)}\times\zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^{-r}(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\right)^r d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty W_1^r(x)\dots W_i^r(x)\left(\int_0^x t^a d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)^{r-1}\int_0^x t^{a(r-1)}\times\zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t)\ d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\ d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1-a)^{r-1}}\int_0^x t^{a(r-1)}\times\zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t)\int_t^\infty x^{(1-a)(r-1)}\times W_1^{-r}(x)\dots W_i^{-r}(x)d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\ d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(1-a)^{r-1}}\int_0^\infty t^{a(r-1)}\left(\frac{t^2}{W_1^{-r}(x)\dots W_i^{-r}(x)}\right)\zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t)\int_t^\infty x^{(1-a)(r-1)-2q}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\ d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{F_1^r(x)\dots F_i^r(x)}{W_1^r(x)\dots W_i^r(x)}dx = \frac{1}{((b-1)(r-1)+2q-1)(1-a)^{r-1}}\int_0^\infty \frac{t^r\times(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))^r}{W_1^p(t)\dots W_i^r(t)}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\ d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t) \tag{22}$$

is valid. In particular, if we put $a=\frac{1}{r}$, $q=\frac{r}{2}$ and $W(x)=x$ we obtain (1).

We need the following inequality to proof the converse of the above Theorem 3.1.

$$\int_0^\infty\left(\frac{F(x)}{x}\right)^r d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) \geq \frac{1+r}{1-r}\left(\frac{r}{1+r}\right)^r\left(\frac{\zeta(x)}{x}\right)^r d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) \tag{23}$$

Proof. Suppose $\frac{\omega_1(x),\dots,\omega_i(x)}{x^2}$ is non-increasing in Corollary 2.5 yields:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{F_1^r(x)\dots F_i^r(x)}{W_1^r(x)\dots W_i^r(x)}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) = \int_0^\infty W_1^{-r}(x)\dots W_i^{-r}(x)\left(t^{\frac{-a(r-1)}{r}}t^{\frac{a(p-1)}{r}}\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)^r d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)$$

$$\leq \int_0^\infty W_1^{-r}(x)\dots W_i^{-r}(x)\left(\left(\int_0^x t^a\ d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}}\left(\int_0^x t^{a(r-1)}\times\zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^{-r}(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\right)^r d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty W_1^r(x)\dots W_i^r(x)\left(\int_0^x t^a\ d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)^{r-1}\int_0^x t^{a(r-1)}\times\zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t)\ d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\ d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1+a)^{r-1}}\int_0^x t^{a(r-1)}\times\zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t)\int_t^\infty x^{(1-a)(r-1)}\times W_1^{-r}(x)\dots W_i^{-r}(x)d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\ d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(1-a)^{r-1}}\int_0^\infty t^{a(r-1)}\left(\frac{t^2}{W_1^{-r}(x)\dots W_i^{-r}(x)}\right)\zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t)\int_t^\infty x^{(1-a)(r-1)-2r}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\ d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{F_1^r(x)\dots F_i^r(x)}{W_1^r(x)\dots W_i^r(x)}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{((b+1)(r-1)+2q-1)(1-a)^{r-1}}\int_0^\infty \frac{t^r\times(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))^r}{W_1^p(t)\dots W_i^r(t)}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\ d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t) \tag{24}$$

If we put $a=\frac{1}{r}$, $q=\frac{r}{2}$ and $W_1(x),\dots,W_i(x)=x$ we obtain (2).

Theorem 3.2 :

Let $\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x)\geq 0$, $\omega_1(x)\dots\omega_i(x)>0$, $0<a<1$, $r>1$, $q>\frac{r-a(r-1)}{2}$,

$$W(x)=\int_0^x \omega(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t) \qquad \text{and} \qquad F(x)=\int_0^x \zeta(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)$$

Let $\Phi(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ be increasing, submultiplicative and convex functions such that $\Phi(\zeta(x))$ and $\phi(\omega(x))$ are integrable function, then the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty \Phi(F_1(x)\dots F_i(x))\phi(W_1(x)\dots W_i(x))x^{1-r}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\leq\\&\leq\frac{1}{1-r}\int_0^\infty \Phi(\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x))\phi(\omega_1(x)\dots\omega_i(x))x^{1-r}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\end{aligned}\tag{25}$$

for all $r<1$.

Proof. If functions Φ and ϕ are convex and submultiplicative, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty \frac{\Phi(F_1(x)\dots F_i(x))\phi(W_1(x)\dots W_i(x))x^{1-r}}{\Phi(x)\phi(x)}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)=\\&=\int_0^\infty \frac{x^{1-r}}{\Phi(x)\phi(x)}\Phi\left(\int_0^x \zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)\phi\left(\int_0^x \omega_1(t)\dots\omega_i(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\\&\leq\int_0^\infty x^{1-r}\Phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)\phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \omega_1(t)\dots\omega_i(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\\&=\int_0^\infty x^{-r}\left(\int_0^x \Phi(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))\phi(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)\phi\left(\int_0^x \omega_1(t)\dots\omega_i(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

In view of (5), we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty \frac{\Phi(F_1(x)\dots F_i(x))\phi(W_1(x)\dots W_i(x))x^{1-r}}{\Phi(x)\phi(x)}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\leq\\&\leq\int_0^\infty x^{-r}\left(\int_0^x \Phi(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))\phi(\omega_1(t)\dots\omega_i(t))dt\right)d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

Since functions $\Phi,\ \phi,\ \omega_1(x)\dots\omega_i(x)$ and $\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x)$ are non-decreasing then the function $\Phi^o(\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x))$ and $\varphi^o(\omega_1(x)\dots\omega_i(x))$ are also non-decreasing and consequently we can applied (7) where $\rho(x)=1$, and by the inequality (27), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty \frac{\Phi(F_1(x)\dots F_i(x))\phi(W_1(x)\dots W_i(x))x^{1-r}}{\Phi(x)\phi(x)}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\leq\\&\leq\int_0^\infty \Phi(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))\phi(\omega_1(t)\dots\omega_i(t))\left(\int_t^\infty x^{-r}\Phi(x)\phi(x)dx\right)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\\&=\int_0^\infty \Phi(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))\phi(\omega_1(t)\dots\omega_i(t))\left(\int_t^\infty x^{-r}dx\right)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\\&\leq\frac{1}{1-r}\int_0^\infty x^{1-r}\Phi(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))\phi(\omega_1(t)\dots\omega_i(t))d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)=\\&=\frac{1}{1-r}\int_0^\infty x^{1-r}\prod_{n=1}^{i}\Phi(\zeta_i(t))\phi(\omega_i(t))d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\qquad\square\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

Theorem 3.2 :

Let $\zeta_1\dots\zeta_i\geq 0,\ F_1\dots F_i$ and $W_i\dots w_i$ are non-decreasing. Let $\omega_1\dots\omega_i>0$, be continuous on $(0,\infty)$. Let $\Phi\geq 0$ and non-decreasing with $a<b\leq\infty$. If $\omega_1\dots\omega_i$ is non-increasing and $\Phi(\frac{\zeta(x)}{\omega(x)})$ is integrable on $a<b\leq\infty$, the following inequality is valid.

$$\int_a^b \Phi\left(\frac{F_1(x)\dots F_i(x)}{W_1(x)\dots W_i(x)}\right)d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\leq\int_a^b \phi\left(\frac{\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x)}{\omega_1(x)\dots\omega_i(x)}\right)d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\tag{29}$$

by convexity $\Phi(x)=x^r, r\geq 1$ and $\Phi=\phi$, the above inequality can be written in the form of

$$\int_a^b \left(\frac{F_1(x)\dots F_i(x)}{W_1(x)\dots W_i(x)}\right)^r d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\leq\int_a^b \left(\frac{\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x)}{\omega_1(x)\dots\omega_i(x)}\right)^r d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\tag{30}$$

Proof.

$$\int_a^b \Phi\left(\frac{F_1(x)\dots F_i(x)}{W_1(x)\dots W_i(x)}\right) d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) \leq \int_a^b \phi\left(\frac{\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x)}{\omega_1(x)\dots\omega_i(x)}\right) d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) \tag{31}$$

Since ω is non-increasing, Φ and ϕ are non-decreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_a^b \Phi\left(\frac{F_1(x)\dots F_i(x)}{W_1(x)\dots W_i(x)}\right) d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) = \int_a^b \Phi\left(\frac{1}{W_1(x)\dots W_i(x)}\int_0^x \zeta(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)\\
&\leq \int_a^b \Phi\left(\frac{x\times(\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x)}{\omega_1(x)\dots\omega_i(x)}\right) d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) = \int_a^b \Phi\left(\frac{x\times(\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x)}{\int_0^x(\omega_1(t)\dots\omega_i(t)}\right)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)\\
&= \int_a^b \Phi\left(\frac{x\times(\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x)}{\int_0^x(\omega_1(t)\dots\omega_i(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)}\right)\right) d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) \leq \int_a^b \Phi\left(\frac{x\times(\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x)}{(\omega_1(x)\dots\omega_i(x))}\right) d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

The next theorem is a generalization of Theorem 3.3 (Jensen, 1906).

Theorem 3.3:

Let $\Phi(x) \geq 0$ be a twice differentiable function on $(0,\infty)$, convex, submultiplicative and $\omega(0) = 0$. Suppose $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r > 1$. If $x^{2r}\frac{\Phi(\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x))}{\Phi(x)}$ is integrable , then the following inequality:

$$\int_0^\infty x^{2-r}\frac{\Phi(x^q(F_1(x)\dots F_i(x)))}{\Phi^{r+2}(x)}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) \leq \frac{1}{p-1}\int_0^\infty x^{2-r}\frac{\Phi(\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x))}{\Phi(x)}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) \tag{33}$$

holds.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty x^{2-r}\frac{\Phi(x^q\times(F_1(x)\dots F_i(x)))}{\Phi^{r+2}(x)} = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{2-r}}{\Phi^{r+2}(x)}\Phi\left(x^{q+1}\frac{(F_1(x)\dots F_i(x))}{x}\right) d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{2-r}}{\Phi^{r+2}(x)}\Phi(x^{q+1})(x)\Phi\left(\frac{(F_1(x)\dots F_i(x))}{x}\right) d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{2-r}}{\Phi(x)}\Phi\left(\frac{(F_1(x)\dots F_i(x))}{x}dx\right) d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{2-pr}}{\Phi(x)}\Phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x (F_1(x)\dots F_i(x))\right) d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) =\\
&= \int_0^\infty \Phi(\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x))\left(\int_t^\infty x^{-r}\frac{x}{\Phi(x)}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\right) d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\\
&= \int_0^\infty \Phi(\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x))\frac{t}{\Phi(x)}\left(\int_t^\infty x^{-p}d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\right) d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t) \leq\\
&\leq \frac{1}{r-1}\int_0^\infty x^{2-r}\Phi(\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x))d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) \qquad \square
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Theorem 3.4

Let $0 \leq \zeta$, non-decreasing on $(0,\infty)$ and define F and W as above. If $0 \leq \omega$ is a non-decreasing on $(0,\infty)$.$\Phi \geq 0$ and non-decreasing with $0 < a < b < \infty$. If the function $\phi(\zeta(x)\omega(x))$ is integrable on $[a,b]$, then

$$\int_a^b \Phi\left(\frac{F_1(x)\dots F_i(x)\ W_1(x)\dots W_i(x)}{x^2}\right) d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) \leq \int_a^b \Phi\left((\zeta_1(x)\dots\zeta_i(x))\ (\omega_1(x)\dots\omega_i(x))\right) d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) \tag{35}$$

Proof. The result is obtained from (5) in connection with Chebyshev integral inequality, convexity of a functions and by considering the function $\rho(x) = 1$ for all $x \in [a,b]$, see (Anthonio and Rauf, 2021) we obtain.

$$\int_a^b \Phi\left(\frac{F_1(x)\dots F_i(x)\ W_1(x)\dots W_i(x)}{x^2}\right) d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) =$$
$$= \int_a^b \Phi\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\left[\int_0^x (\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right]\left[\int_0^x (\omega_1(t)\dots\omega_i(t))d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right] d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\right)$$
$$\leq \int_a^b \Phi\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\left[\int_0^x d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right]\left[\int_0^x (\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))(\omega_1(t)\dots\omega_i(t))dt\right] d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\right)$$
$$= \int_a^b \Phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\left[\int_0^x (\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))(\omega_1(t)\dots\omega_i(t))d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right] d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\right)$$
$$= \int_a^b \Phi\left(\frac{(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))}{x}\left[\int_0^x (\omega_1(t)\dots\omega_i(t))d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right] d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\right)$$
$$= \int_a^b \Phi\left(\frac{(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))(\omega_1(t)\dots\omega_i(t))}{x}\left[\int_0^x d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right] d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)\right)$$
$$\int_a^b \Phi\left(\frac{F_1(x)\dots F_i(x)\ W_1(x)\dots W_i(x)}{x^2}\right) d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) \leq \int_a^b \Phi\left((\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))(\omega_1(t)\dots\omega_i(t))\right) d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) \tag{36}$$

4 Some New Refined Hardy-type Integral Inequalities

The new refined weighted Hardy-type Integral Inequalities are established as our main results:

Theorem 4.1 :

Let $r > 1, k > 1, 0 < b \leq \infty$, and let $\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)$ be absolutely continuous function and locally integrable on $(0,b)$ such that $0 < \int_0^b x^{r-k} \quad \zeta_1^r(x)\dots\zeta_i^r(x)d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) < \infty$.

(a) If $r \geq 1$, then

$$\int_0^b \frac{1}{x^k} \quad \left(\int_0^x \ \zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)^r d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) +$$
$$+ \frac{k-1}{r}\int_0^b\int_t^b \left[\frac{r}{k-1}\left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{1-\frac{k-1}{r}} \zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t) - \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right]^r x^{r-k-\frac{k-1}{r}} d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) \ \ t^{\frac{k-1}{r}-1}$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{r}{k-1}\right)^r \int_0^b \left(1 - \left[\frac{x}{b}\right]^{\frac{k-1}{r}}\right)^r \quad x^{r-k} \ \ \zeta_1^r(x)\dots\zeta_i^r(x)d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) \tag{37}$$

(b) If $0 < r < 1$, then the above inequality holds in the reserved direction.

The classical Hardy inequality (1) for $k > 1$ can be refined by adding a second term on the left hand side. We have the following inequality:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^k}\left(\int_0^x \zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)^2 d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) +$$
$$+ \frac{k-1}{2}\int_0^\infty\int_t^\infty \left(\frac{2}{k-1}\left[\frac{t}{x}\right]^{1-\frac{k-1}{2}} \zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t) - \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)^2 \times$$
$$\times\, x^{2-k-\frac{k-1}{2}} d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x) \ \ t^{\frac{k-1}{2}-1} d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t) \tag{38}$$
$$= \left(\frac{2}{k-1}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}\right) \quad x^{2-k}\ \zeta_1^2(x)\dots\zeta_i^2(x)\ d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)$$

Proof. . By combining Corollary 2.1, $\Phi(x) = x^r$ with $r \geq 1$ and $u(x) = 1$, yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^b \left(\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t))d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)^r \frac{d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)}{x}+\\
&+\int_0^b\int_t^b \left(\zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta^r(t) - \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t)d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)^r \quad \frac{d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)}{x^2}\ d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t) \qquad (39)\\
&\leq \int_0^b \left(1-\frac{x}{b}\right)\quad \zeta_1^r(x)\dots\zeta_i^r(x)\ \frac{d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)}{x}
\end{aligned}$$

with $a = b^{\frac{k-1}{r}}, x = \zeta_1(t^{\frac{r}{k-1}}),\dots,\zeta_i(t^{\frac{r}{k-1}})x^{\frac{r}{k-1}}$ and $y = x^{\frac{r}{k-1}}$ and $q = t^{\frac{r}{k-1}}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^a \left(\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \zeta_1(t^{\frac{r}{k-1}}),\dots,\zeta_i(t^{\frac{r}{k-1}})t^{\frac{r}{k-1}-1}d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\right)^r \frac{d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)}{x}+\\
&+\int_0^a\int_t^a \left(\zeta_1(t^{\frac{r}{k-1}}),\dots,\zeta_i(t^{\frac{r}{k-1}})x^{\frac{r}{k-1}-1} - \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \zeta_1(t^{\frac{r}{k-1}}),\dots,\zeta_i(t^{\frac{r}{k-1}})t^{\frac{r}{k-1}-1}dt\right)^r \quad \frac{d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)}{x^2}d_1(t),\dots,d_i(t)\\
&=\left(\frac{k-1}{r}\right)^r\int_0^a \left(\frac{1}{x}\int_0^{x^{\frac{r}{k-1}}} \zeta_1(q),\dots,\zeta_i(q)d_1(q),\dots,d_i(q)\right)^r \frac{d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)}{x}+\\
&+\left(\frac{k-1}{r}\right)^{r+1}\int_0^b\int_{q^{\frac{k-1}{r}}}^a \left(\frac{r}{k-1}\zeta_1(q),\dots,\zeta_i(q)q^{1-\frac{k-1}{r}} - \frac{1}{x}\int_0^{x^{\frac{r}{k-1}}} \zeta_1(q),\dots,\zeta_i(q)dq\right)^r \times\\
&\times \frac{d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)}{x^2}\ q^{\frac{k-1}{r}-1}d_1(q),\dots,d_i(q)\\
&\leq \int_0^a \left(1-\frac{x}{a}\right)\zeta_1^r(t^{\frac{r}{k-1}}),\dots,\zeta_i^r(t^{\frac{r}{k-1}})x^{r(\frac{k-1}{r}-1)}\frac{d_1(x),\dots,d_i(x)}{x}
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{k-1}{r}\right)^{r+1}\int_0^b \frac{1}{y^k}\left(\int_0^y \zeta_1(q),\dots,\zeta_i(q)d_1(q),\dots,d_i(q)\right)^r d_1(y),\dots,d_i(y)+\\
&+\left(\frac{k-1}{r}\right)^{r+2}\int_0^b\int_q^b \left(\frac{r}{k-1}\zeta_1(q),\dots,\zeta_i(q)q^{1-\frac{k-1}{r}} - \frac{1}{y^{\frac{k-1}{r}}}\int_0^y \zeta_1(q),\dots,\zeta_i(q)d_1(q),\dots,d_i(q)\right)^r \times\\
&\times y^{\frac{1-k}{r}-1}d_1(y),\dots,d_i(y)\ q^{\frac{k-1}{r}-1}d_1(q),\dots,d_i(q)\\
&=\left(\frac{k-1}{r}\right)^{r+1}\int_0^b \frac{1}{y^k}\left(\int_0^y \zeta_1(q),\dots,\zeta_i(q)d_1(q),\dots,d_i(q)\right)^r d_1(y),\dots,d_i(y)+ \qquad (40)\\
&+\left(\frac{k-1}{r}\right)^{r+2}\int_0^b\int_q^b \left(\frac{r}{k-1}\zeta_1(q),\dots,\zeta_i(q)\left(\frac{q}{y}\right)^{1-\frac{k-1}{r}} - \frac{1}{y}\int_0^y \zeta_1(q),\dots,\zeta_i(q)d_1(q),\dots,d_i(q)\right)^r \times\\
&\times y^{r-k\frac{1-k}{r}-1}dy\ q^{\frac{k-1}{r}-1}d_1(q),\dots,d_i(q)\\
&\leq \left(\frac{k-1}{r}\right)\int_0^b \left(1-\left[\frac{y}{b}\right]^{\frac{k-1}{r}}\right)y^{r-k}\zeta_1^r(y),\dots,\zeta_i^r(y)d_1(y),\dots,d_i(y) \qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

(b) The proof of $0 < p < 1$ is similar but the signs of the inequalities are reserved.

Remark 4.1:

Putting $u_1,\dots,u_i = 1$ and ν the weight function is equal to

$$\begin{cases} 1-\frac{x}{b}, & b < \infty \\ 1, b \leq \infty \end{cases}$$

Thus, for $b < \infty$ and $a = 0$ (39) becomes

$$\int_0^b \left(\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)\right)^r \frac{d_1(x)\dots d_i(x)}{x}+$$
$$+\int_0^b\int_t^b \left(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)-\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)\right)^r \frac{u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)}{x^2}d_1(x)\dots d_i(x)\ \ d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)$$
$$\leq \int_0^b (\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))^r \ \ \frac{d_1(x)\dots d_i(x)}{x} \qquad \text{and when} \quad b=\infty \qquad a=0$$
$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)\right)^r \frac{d_1(x)\dots d_i(x)}{x}+$$
$$+\int_0^\infty\int_t^b \left(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)-\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)\right)^r \frac{u_1(x)\dots u_i(x)}{x^2}d_1(x)\dots d_i(x)\ \ d_1(t)\dots d_i(t)$$
$$\leq \int_0^\infty (\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))^r \ \ \frac{d_1(x)\dots d_i(x)}{x}$$

Theorem 4.2 :

Let $r > 1, k > 1, 0 < b \leq \infty$ and $\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)$ be absolutely continuous function and locally integrable on (b,∞) such that $0 < \int_b^0 x^{r-k} \ \ \zeta_1^r(x)\dots\zeta_i^r(x)dx_1,\dots,dx_i < \infty$.
(c) If $r \geq 1$, then

$$\int_b^\infty \frac{1}{x^k} \ \ \left(\int_x^\infty \zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)dt_1,\dots,dt_i\right)^r dx_1,\dots,dx_i+$$
$$+\frac{k-1}{r}\int_b^\infty\int_b^t\left(\frac{r}{k-1}\left[\frac{t}{x}\right]^{1+\frac{1-k}{r}}\zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t)-\frac{1}{x}\int_x^\infty\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)dt_1,\dots,dt_i\right)^r x^{r-k+\frac{k-1}{r}}dx_1,\dots,dx_i.\ \ t^{\frac{k-1}{r}-1}dt_1,\dots,dt_i$$
$$\leq\left(\frac{r}{k-1}\right)^r\int_b^\infty\left(1-\left[\frac{b}{x}\right]^{\frac{k-1}{r}}\right) \ \ x^{r-k} \ \ \zeta_1^r(y)\dots\zeta_i^r(y)\ dy \tag{41}$$

(d) If $0 < r < 1$, then the above inequality holds in the reserved direction.

The classical Hardy inequality (1.1) for $k < 1$ can be refined by adding a second term on the left hand side. In particular, for $b = 0$ and $r = 2$ we have the following inequality:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^k}\left(\int_x^\infty \zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t)dt_1,\dots,dt_i\right)^2 dx_1,\dots,dx_i+$$
$$+\frac{1-k}{2}\int_0^\infty\int_0^t\left[\frac{2}{1-k}\left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{1+\frac{1-k}{2}}\zeta_1^r(t)\dots\zeta_i^r(t)-\frac{1}{x}\int_x^\infty\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)dt_1,\dots,dt_i\right]^2 x^{2-k+\frac{1-k}{2}}dx_1,\dots,dx_i.\ \ t^{\frac{k-1}{2}-1}dt_1,\dots,dt_i$$
$$=\left(\frac{2}{1-k}\right)^2\int_0^\infty \ \ x^{2-k}\ \zeta_1^2(x)\dots\zeta_i^2(x)\ dx_1,\dots,dx_i \tag{42}$$

Proof. Corollary 2.1, $\Phi(x) = x^r$ with $r \geq 2$ and $u(x) = 1$ yields

$$\int_0^\infty\left(x\int_x^\infty\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t))\frac{dt_1,\dots,dt_i}{t^2}\right)^r\frac{dx_1,\dots,dx_i}{x}+$$
$$+\int_b^\infty\int_\infty^t\left(\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)-x\int_x^\infty\zeta_1(t)\dots\zeta_i(t)\frac{dt_1,\dots,dt_i}{t^2}\right)^r \ \ dx_1,\dots,dx_i\ \frac{dt_1,\dots,dt_i}{t^2} \tag{43}$$
$$\leq\int_0^b\left(1-\frac{x}{b}\right) \ \ \zeta_1^r(x)\dots\zeta_i^r(x)\ \frac{dx_1,\dots,dx_i}{x}$$

By replacing $a = b^{\frac{1-k}{r}}, x = \zeta_1(t^{\frac{r}{1-k}}), \ldots, \zeta_i(t^{\frac{r}{1-k}})x^{\frac{r}{1-k}}$. Thereafter, by using the substitution $y = x^{\frac{r}{1-k}}$ and $q = t^{\frac{r}{1-k}}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_b^\infty \left(x\int_x^\infty \zeta_1(t^{\frac{r}{1-k}}), \ldots, \zeta_i(t^{\frac{r}{1-k}})t^{\frac{r}{1-k}-1}\frac{dt_1, \ldots, dt_i}{t^2}\right)^r \frac{dx_1, \ldots, dx_i}{x} + \\
&+ \int_a^\infty \int_a^t \left(\zeta_1(t^{\frac{r}{1-k}}), \ldots, \zeta_i(t^{\frac{r}{k-1}})x^{\frac{r}{1-k}-1} - x\int_x^\infty \zeta_1(t^{\frac{r}{1-k}}), \ldots, \zeta_i(t^{\frac{r}{1-k}})t^{\frac{r}{1-k}-1}dt\right)^r \; dx_1, \ldots, dx_i \; \frac{dt_1, \ldots, dt_i}{t^2} \\
&= \left(\frac{1-k}{r}\right)^r \int_a^\infty \left(x\int_{x^{\frac{r}{1-k}}}^\infty \zeta_1(q), \ldots, \zeta_i(q)dq\right)^r \frac{dx_1, \ldots, dx_i}{x} + \\
&+ \left(\frac{1-k}{r}\right)^{r+1} \int_b^\infty \int_a^{q^{\frac{1-k}{r}}} \left(\frac{r}{1-k}\zeta_1(q), \ldots, \zeta_i(q)q^{1-\frac{1-k}{r}} - x\int_{x^{\frac{r}{1-k}}}^\infty \zeta_1(q), \ldots, \zeta_i(q)dq_1, \ldots, dq_i\right)^r dx_1, \ldots, dx_i \; q^{\frac{k-1}{r}-1}dq_1, \ldots, dq_i \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \frac{a}{x}\right)\zeta_1^r(t^{\frac{r}{1-k}}), \ldots, \zeta_i^r(t^{\frac{r}{1-k}})x^{r(\frac{r}{1-k}+1)}\frac{dx_1, \ldots, dx_i}{x}
\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1-k}{r}\right)^{r+1} \int_b^\infty \frac{1}{y^k}\left(\int_y^\infty \zeta_1(q), \ldots, \zeta_i(q)dq_1, \ldots, dq_i\right)^r dy_1, \ldots, dy_i + \\
&+ \left(\frac{k-1}{r}\right)^{r+2} \int_b^\infty \int_b^q \left(\frac{r}{k-1}\zeta_1(q), \ldots, \zeta_i(q)q^{1-\frac{1-k}{r}} - \frac{1}{y^{\frac{k-1}{r}}}\int_0^y \zeta_1(q), \ldots, \zeta_i(q)dq\right)^r \times \\
&\times y^{\frac{1-k}{r}-1}dy_1, \ldots, dy_i \; q^{\frac{k-1}{r}-1}dq_1, \ldots, dq_i \\
&= \left(\frac{k-1}{r}\right)^{r+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{y^k}\left(\int_0^y \zeta_1(q), \ldots, \zeta_i(q)dq_1, \ldots, dq_i\right)^r dy_1, \ldots, dy_i + \\
&+ \left(\frac{k-1}{r}\right)^{r+2} \int_0^\infty \int_q^b \left(\frac{r}{k-1}\zeta_1(q), \ldots, \zeta_i(q)q^{1+\frac{1-k}{r}} - y^{1+\frac{1-k}{r}}\int_y^\infty \zeta_1(q), \ldots, \zeta_i(q)dq_1, \ldots, dq_i\right)^r \times \\
&\times y^{\frac{1-k}{r}-1}dy_1, \ldots, dy_i \; q^{\frac{k-1}{r}-1}dq_1, \ldots, dq_i \\
&= \left(\frac{k-1}{r}\right)^{r+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{y^k}\left(\int_0^y \zeta_1(q), \ldots, \zeta_i(q)dq_1, \ldots, dq_i\right)^r dy_1, \ldots, dy_i + \\
&+ \left(\frac{k-1}{r}\right)^{r+2} \int_b^\infty \int_b^q \left(\frac{r}{k-1}\zeta_1(q), \ldots, \zeta_i(q)\left[\frac{q}{y}\right]^{1+\frac{1-k}{r}} - \frac{1}{y}\int_y^\infty \zeta_1(q), \ldots, \zeta_i(q)dq_1, \ldots, dq_i\right)^r \times \\
&\times y^{\frac{1-k}{r}q-k}dy_1, \ldots, dy_i \; q^{\frac{k-1}{r}-1}dq_1, \ldots, dq_i \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1-k}{r}\right) \int_b^\infty \left(1 - \left[\frac{b}{y}\right]^{\frac{1-k}{r}}\right) y^{r-k}\zeta_1^r(y), \ldots, \zeta_i^r(y)dy_1, \ldots, dy_i \qquad \square
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

(d) The inequalities are reversed in the case of $0 < p < 1$.

5 Conclusion

This work is a modification of Hardy-type inequalities involving superquadratic and subquadratic functions of independent interest by means of convexity, Fubinis theorem and some fairly analytic methods. Results obtained are extension of earlier efforts in the literature.

Acknowledgement

The first author expresses his gratitude to Lagos State University of Science and Technology. In particular, he acknowledged with thanks the hospitality and facilities provided by the Department.

Competing interests:

The manuscript was read and approved by all the authors. They therefore declare that there is no conflicts of interest.

Disclaimer (Artificial Intelligence)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

References

Anthonio, Y. O., & Rauf, K. (2021). Hardy-type Inequalities for Convex Functions. Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci, 16, 263-271.

Anthonio, Y. O., Abdurasid, A. A., Aderele, O. R., Abolarinwa, A. A., Rauf, K. (2023). Extension of Hardy-Type Inequalities on Time Scales. Pan-American Journal of Mathematics, 2, 4.

Gogatishvili, A., Ünver, T. (2024). New characterization of weighted inequalities involving superposition of Hardy integral operators. Mathematische Nachrichten, 297(9), 3381-3409.

Hardy, G. H. (1920). Note on a theorem of Hilbert. Mathematische Zeitschrift, 6(3), 314-317.

HABDY, G. (1925). Notes on some points in the integral calculus (LX). Messenger of Math, 54, 150-156.

Hardy, G. H. (1928). Notes on some points in the integral calculus (LXIT). Messenger of Math., 57, 12-16.

Hardy, G. H., Littlewood, J. E., Pólya, G. (1959). Nequalities. Cambridge university press.

Hasan, W. M., El-Owaidy, H. M., El-Deeb, A. A., Rezk, H. M. (2024). A generalization of some integral inequalities similar to Hardy inequality on time scales. Kuwait Journal of Science, 51(1), 100124.

Imoru, C. O. (1977). On some integral inequalities related to Hardy's. Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, 20(3), 307-312.

Jensen, J. L. W. V. (1906). Sur les fonctions convexes et les inégalités entre les valeurs moyennes. Acta mathematica, 30(1), 175-193.

Kufner A. & Persson L.-E. (2003). Weighted Inequalities of Hardy Type, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore.

Kufner, A., Maligranda, L., Persson, L. E. (2006). The prehistory of the Hardy inequality. The American Mathematical Monthly, 113(8), 715-732.

Kufner A., Maligranda L., & Persson L.-E. (2007). The Hardy Inequality—About Its History and Some Related Results, Vydavatelsky Servis Publishing House, Pilsen.

Levinson N. (1964). Generalizations of inequalities of Hardy and Littlewood, Duke Math. J., 31:389-394.

Mitrinović D. S.(1970). Analytic Inequalities, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

Oguntuase, J. A., Persson, L. E. (2008). Refinement of Hardy's inequalities via superquadratic and subquadratic functions. Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, 339(2), 1305-1312.

Opic B. / A. Kufner. (1990). Hardy-Type Inequalities, Pitman Ser., vol. 219, Harlow.

Shum D. T. (1971). On integral inequalities related to Hardy's, Canad. Math. Bull. 14 (2), 225-230.

Sroysang B. (2014). More on Some Hardy type integral inequalities, J. Math. Ineq. V.8, Num. 3, 497-501.

Sulaiman W. T. (2012). Some Hardy type integral inequalities, Appl. Math. Lett., 25, 520-525.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© *Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)
https://prh.ikprress.org/review-history/12625